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## TM5 Limitations

## Fast but not enough

1. EC-Earth: couple of decades max, no ensemble run
2. (very) Hi-Res slower than real time!
3. MPI Processor starvation $>27$ or $\mathbf{1}$
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## Technically

1. Two MPI decompositions (levels, tracers)

- add complexity: which_par, Imloc, duplicate code
- add MPI comm: switching (>3 \%)

2. Tracer decomp => meteo is not decomposed

- heavy MPI communication: half runtime is in MPI_Bcast
- large memory requirements (1x1: 10 Gb )


## TM6 strategy : Revised domain decomposition



|  | TM5 | TM6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| processor starvation | 27 | $30 \times 22=660(@ 6 \times 4)$ |
|  |  | $60 \times 45=2700(@ 3 \times 2)$ |
| meteo communication | broadcast all | $180 * 90=16200(@ 1 \times 1)$ |
| halo update $(\mathrm{snd} / \mathrm{rcv})$ |  |  |
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## Structure

- INFRA
- MPI domains defined
- communications:
- point-to-point (fill halo)
- collective (gather, scatter)
- semi-collective (eg scatter meridional data)
- SUPRA
- test suite (TDD) for bitwise comparison of restart/output


## Restart \& Meteo

## RESTART OPTIONS

- implemented: 1, 2, 30, 31, 33, 4, 5, 9
- tested : 33 (w/ read-write restart in parallel)

DECOMPOSED METEO, but

- read on 1 proc, then scattered
- works with all formats/source


## Processes

## All done!

- advection
- convection
- diffusion
- wet dep
- dry dep
- chemistry
- emissions
- photolysis
- M7, incl. online dust [not tested]
- sedimentation
- strat. boundary


## Outputs

## Half done

- From BASE
- mmix
- budgets (incl. extra 'Box’ fluxes)
- From PROJ / USER_OUTPUT
- time-series (pdump)
- station [not tested]
- mix [not tested]
- aerocom
- settings
- planeflight [not tested]
- noaa
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Test

- M7 \& outputs: mix, station, planeflight
- debug : "1x8" case, "-qflttrap=enable:inv" required
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- chunk reading of meteo in netCDF-4
- aerocom \& time-series outputs
- EC-Earth proj
- updated chem emissions (edgar 4.2 + GFED3)
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## Model Set Up

## Full chemistry (w/o M7)

- summer 2012 trunk version
- Edgar 4.1, AR5 (BB), new photolysis (no GFED3, Edgar4.2)
- output : mmix + profile + with_budgets
- everything on (no without_*)
- 3x2, 34-levels
- meteo : ei, glb100x100, tm5-nc


## Runs Set Up

- 4-days runs
- all combinations from
- 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 24, 32 procs along Lon./Lat.
- limited to 32
- TM5 => 7 runs (1 failed: 32)
- TM6 => 23 runs (1 failed: 1x8)


## Overall perf



## Overall perf (zoom)
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## Inside Step run



## Memory



## Cost


Same cost
TM6 32 cpu
TM5 4 cpu

## Overall Perf \#2



# $8 x$ faster ! same price! 

## CONCLUSION

- huge gain
- 7x less memory
- faster meteo setup, convection, mmix
- w/r/t procs
- $2.5 \times$ faster
- $60 \%$ speed up
- w/r/t ressources
- 8 x faster
- 87\% speed up
- HIGHER LIMITS. . . more procs, higher-res
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## Step run - shows perf of output_mmix_step







## Experiment \#2-6x4, coarsened meteo

## full chemistry (w/o m7)

- same as experiment \#1, except:
- $6 x 4$ instead of $3 \times 2$ res.
- meteo : coarsened instead of glb100x100
- TM5 => 7 runs (1 failed: 32)
- TM6 => 23 runs (2 failed: $1 \times 32,1 \times 8$ )


## Exp. \#2 - Overall detailed



## Exp. \#2 - Memory



