## CarbonTracker (CTDAS): adding <sup>13</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> constraints to the carbon cycle assimilations

Wouter Peters based on work by Ivar van der Velde



- CarbonTracker North America (NOAA ESRL, USA) (2007)
- CarbonTracker Europe (Wageningen University, Netherlands) (2008)
- CarbonTracker Asia (KMA Korea) (2008)
- CarbonTracker China (Nanjing University & CAS GSNRR Beijing) (2011)
- CarbonTracker Amazonia (NOAA ESRL, WU, INPE Brazil) (2009)
- CarbonTracker Alpine (ETH Zurich, 2012)
- CarbonTracker Methane I (NOAA ESRL, 2010)
- CarbonTracker Methane II (FMI Finland, 2011)

#### Current CT



## Developments relevant for TM5 community:

## ObsPack

CarbonTracker & <sup>13</sup>CO<sub>2</sub>

gridded CarbonTracker





## Developments relevant for TM5 community:

ObsPack (courtesy Ken Masarie)

CarbonTracker & <sup>13</sup>CO<sub>2</sub>

**gridded** CarbonTracker





- A new framework to distribute mole fraction observations (CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, COS, CH<sub>4</sub>, SF<sub>6</sub>, (H)CFCs, isotopes) to users
   Successor of GlobalView by K. Masarie
- Advantages:
- **ObsPack**
- datasets fully traceable
- datasets fully citable
- easily acknowledgeable
- Ownloads with automatic notification Example:



Simulated - Observed  $CO_2$  (µmol/mol) Data from 01-Jan-2007 to 16-Jan-2009

WAGENINGEN U For quality of its		
	Pallas-Sammaltunturi, GAW Station, Finland [67 58'N, 24 7'E, 560.0 masl] surface-insitu, Finnish Meteorological Institute, Finland	CTDAS2012 07/09/12
	400 ○ Observed (assimilated) ○ Simulated ■ Model Rejected (N=31) 395	3

## obspack\_co2\_I\_PROTOTYPE\_v0.9.3\_2012-08-24 was downloaded from NOAA ESRL

ALL data contributors were automatically notified

ObsP
 Separate email was sent by Wouter to all PIs using included mail list (metadata), explaining planned use

Data was used in CarbonTracker Europe

Figures were made, metadata from ObsPack was used to:

[ $\mu$ mol mol $^{-1}$ ]

Simulated - Observed  $CO_2$  (µmol/mol) Data from 01-Jan-2007 to 16-Jan-2009

 $[\mu mol mol^{-1}]$ 











- Publication of ObsPack with all data providers to the prototype
- Prototype now used in EU project "GEOCARBON"

**ObsPack** 

- Creation of targeted ObsPacks for specific projects or user communities
- Creation of ObsPacks for other species (CH4, SF6, <sup>13</sup>CO<sub>2</sub>,...)

# ObsPack: Independent aircraft data comparison



CONTRAIL aircraft flights on Japan Airlines

WAGENINGEN



We developed a comprehensive system to simulate terrestrial + atmospheric <sup>13</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> cycling

Ivar van der Velde



Based on SIBCASA, hourly photosynthesis, fractionation of C3/ C4, cycling through pools, ++

Implemented in CarbonTracker to interpret (and assimilate) <sup>13</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> observations





$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d[CO_2]}{dt} &= F_{fossil} + F_{fire} + N_{ocean} + N_{bio} \\ CO_2 \cdot \frac{d\delta_{13}}{dt} &= F_{ff} \cdot (\delta_{ff} - \delta_a) + F_{fire} \cdot (\delta_{bio} - \delta_a) + \\ & N_{bio}\Delta_{bio} + N_{ocean}\Delta_{ocean} + \\ & D_{bio} + D_{oce} \end{aligned}$$

WAGENINGEN UR

For quality of life



#### But one-way exchange of CO<sub>2</sub> also impacts the isotopic ratio



$$\begin{split} & \widehat{\mathbf{b}} = \text{signature of a reservoir} \\ & \Delta = \text{discrimination by a process} \\ & \mathbf{D} = \text{disequilibrium} = \\ & F_{up} \left( \delta_{down} - \delta_{up} \right) \text{ of a gross flux} \end{split}$$
$$\\ & \frac{d[CO_2]}{dt} = F_{fossil} + F_{fire} + N_{ocean} + N_{bio} \\ & CO_2 \cdot \frac{d\delta_{13}}{dt} = F_{ff} \cdot (\delta_{ff} - \delta_a) + F_{fire} \cdot (\delta_{bio} - \delta_a) + \\ & N_{bio}\Delta_{bio} + N_{ocean}\Delta_{ocean} + \\ & D_{bio} + D_{oce} \end{split}$$

Tuesday, October 16, 12

\_























## And nearly all IAV comes from the terrestrial biosphere (fractionating)

## alance of <sup>13</sup>CO<sub>2</sub>









- $\delta$  = signature of a reservoir
- $\Delta$  = discrimination by a process
- D = disequilibrium

= 
$$F_{up}$$
 ( $\delta_{down} - \delta_{up}$ ) of a gross flux

$$\frac{d[CO_2]}{dt} = F_{fossil} + F_{fire} + N_{ocean} + N_{bio}$$

$$CO_{2} \cdot \frac{d\delta_{13}}{dt} = F_{ff} \cdot (\delta_{ff} - \delta_{a}) + F_{fire} \cdot (\delta_{bio} - \delta_{a}) + N_{bio}\Delta_{bio} + N_{ocean}\Delta_{ocean} + D_{bio} + D_{oce}$$



d/dt $C_{\rm a}$ <sup>a</sup>	3.6	PgC/yr
$F_{ m ff}$ b	6.9	
$F_{\rm fire}$ <sup>c</sup>	1.8	
$N_{\rm oce}$ d	-2.1	
$N_{\rm bio}$ e	-3.0	$\delta_{ m a}~^{ m a}$
		$\delta_{ m ff}~^{ m b}$
		$(\delta_{\rm ab} - \delta_{\rm a}) = -\Delta^{\ {\rm i}}$
		$(\delta_{\rm ao} - \delta_{\rm a}) = \epsilon^{\ \rm j}$
		$C_{ m a}~^{ m a}$

Tuesday, October 16, 12

-8.0 ‰

-28.6 ‰

-15.2 ‰

-2.0 ‰

779.2 PgC



$d/dt C_a^a$	3.6
$F_{ m ff}$ <sup>b</sup>	6.9
$F_{ m fire}$ <sup>c</sup>	1.8
$N_{ m oce}$ d	-2.1
$N_{ m bio}$ $^{ m e}$	-3.0

-8.0 ‰  $\delta_{\mathrm{a}}{}^{\mathrm{a}}$ 

$$\delta_{\rm ff}$$
 -28.6 %

$$(\delta_{ab} - \delta_a) = -\Delta^{i} \qquad -15.2 \%_0$$

$$(\delta_{ao} - \delta_a) = \epsilon^{j}$$
 -2

-2.0 ‰

 $C_{\rm a}$  <sup>a</sup>



Tuesday, October 16, 12

WAGENINGEN UR For quality of life					
		moon		d/dt $C_{\rm a}$ <sup>a</sup>	3.6
		mean		$F_{ m ff}$ b	6.9
	$C_{\rm a} {\rm d/dt} {\delta_{\rm a}}^{\rm a}$	-18.7		$F_{\rm fire}$ <sup>c</sup>	1.8
	$F_{\rm ff}(\delta_{\rm ff}-\delta_{\rm a})$	-141.9		$N_{ m oce}$ d	-2.1
	$F_{\rm fire}(\delta_{\rm ab}-\delta_{\rm a})$	-27.8		$N_{ m bio}$ <sup>e</sup>	-3.0
	$N_{ m oce}(\delta_{ m ao}-\delta_{ m a})$	4.2			
	$N_{ m bio}(\delta_{ m ab}-\delta_{ m a})$	45.6		$\delta_{\mathrm{a}}~^{\mathrm{a}}$	-8.0 ‰
	- f			$\delta_{ m ff}$ b	-28.6 ‰
	$D_{ m bio}$ '	25.4	$(\delta$	$_{ m ab} - \delta_{ m a}) = -\Delta^{ m i}$	-15.2 ‰
	$D_{ m oce}$ g	48.7	(	$(\delta_{\rm ao} - \delta_{\rm a}) = \epsilon^{\ { m j}}$	-2.0 ‰
	residual <sup>h</sup>	27.1		$C_{\mathrm{a}}$ a	779.2 PgO

WAGENINGEN UR For quality of life					
		mean	$1\sigma$		$d/dt C_a^a$
	$C_{ m a}~{ m d/dt}~\delta_{ m a}~{ m a}$	-18.7	$\pm 21.3$		$F_{\rm ff}$ $F_{\rm fire}$ $c$
	$F_{ m ff}(\delta_{ m ff}-\delta_{ m a})$	-141.9	$\pm 4.0$		$N_{\rm oce}^{\rm d}$
	$F_{\rm fire}(\delta_{\rm ab}-\delta_{\rm a})$	-27.8	$\pm 2.3$		$N_{ m bio}$ e
	$N_{ m oce}(\delta_{ m ao}-\delta_{ m a})$	4.2	$\pm 0.4$		
	$N_{ m bio}(\delta_{ m ab}-\delta_{ m a})$	45.6	$\pm$ 18.1		$\delta_{\mathrm{a}}~^{\mathrm{a}}$
	$D_{ m bio}$ f	25.4	$\pm 1.5$	$(\delta$	$_{ m ab} - \delta_{ m a}) = -\Delta^{ m i}$
	$D_{ m oce}$ g	48.7	$\pm 1.5$		$(\delta_{\rm ao} - \delta_{\rm a}) = \epsilon^{\rm j}$
	residual <sup>h</sup>	27.1	$\pm 10.1$		$C_{\mathrm{a}}$ a

3.6

6.9

1.8

-2.1

-3.0

-8.0 ‰

-28.6 ‰

-15.2 ‰

-2.0 ‰

 $779.2 \ \mathrm{PgC}$ 



	mean	$1\sigma$	$1\sigma^2$	d/dt $C_{\rm a}$ a	3.6
	mean	10	10	$F_{\rm ff}$ b	6.9
$C_{ m a}~{ m d/dt}~\delta_{ m a}~{ m ^a}$	-18.7	$\pm 21.3$	454.4	$F_{\text{fire}}$ c	1.8
$F_{\rm ff}(\delta_{\rm ff}-\delta_{\rm a})$	-141.9	$\pm 4.0$	16.0	$N_{\rm oce}$ d	-2.1
$F_{ m fire}(\delta_{ m ab}-\delta_{ m a})$	-27.8	$\pm 2.3$	5.3	$N_{ m bio}$ e	-3.0
$N_{ m oce}(\delta_{ m ao}-\delta_{ m a})$	4.2	$\pm 0.4$	0.14		
$N_{ m bio}(\delta_{ m ab}-\delta_{ m a})$	45.6	$\pm 18.1$	326.5	$\delta_{\mathrm{a}}$ "	-8.0 ‰
				$\delta_{ m ff}~^{ m b}$	-28.6 ‰
$D_{ m bio}$ f	25.4	$\pm 1.5$	2.1	$(\delta_{\rm ab} - \delta_{\rm a}) = -\Delta^{\ {\rm i}}$	-15.2 ‰
$D_{ m oce}$ g	48.7	$\pm 1.5$	2.2	$(\delta_{\rm ao} - \delta_{\rm a}) = \epsilon^{\ \rm j}$	-2.0 ‰
residual <sup>h</sup>	27.1	$\pm 10.1$	102.2	$C_{ m a}~^{ m a}$	779.2 PgC



#### First analysis indicates:

- easy to match long-term mean growth rate by scaling disequilibrium fluxes (uncertain terms)
- very hard to match observed inter-annual variability in <sup>13</sup>CO<sub>2</sub>



- requires (too) large IAV in net terrestrial fluxes, or
- (too) large IAV in net ocean fluxes, or
- (too??) large adjustments in fractionation strength by biosphere
- First flux results:



C<sup>13</sup> constraint pulls towards more land uptake, and more ocean uptake

the balance with CO<sub>2</sub> only allows large land uptake with smaller ocean uptake





- C<sup>13</sup> constraint pulls towards more uptake in North America and Europe, and less uptake in Boreal EurAsia
- the balance with CO<sub>2</sub> only allows large land uptake with smaller ocean uptake











other factors beside net  $CO_2$  fluxes need to be considered in the inversion!

terrestrial disequilibrium magnitude occean disequilibrium magnitude and variability fractionation magnitude and variability horizontal & vertical diffusion









Tuesday, October 16, 12





Natural carbon flux for North American Temperate

Tuesday, October 16, 12



## First multi-species CTDAS system built by lvar

#### summary

## Use of ObsPack very convenient

TM5 details still important: north-south gradients, PBL mixing, use of meteo are all factors in the results



- Model development: CTDAS now has a separate Google Group for users
- Plan is to decouple CTDAS from TM5 completely (a dummy transport model will be delivered instead)

#### ongoing

- Users still welcome to join TM5 group (!?)
- We'd love to move to TM6 and can offer some hours in assistance
- Following up on horizontal/vertical diffusion issues: Emma's work



## to discuss

The CTDAS group also wants to support an OD archive next to an EI one

Closer collaboration adjoint/ensemble inversion systems: wanted, needed?





Tuesday, October 16, 12



HDF/ NetCDF (thanks Ivar) Tests on huygens (NL HPC system)
Base TM5 trunk version (10 test tracers)

1 month simulated, 5 processors

Tests for

pregridding

HDF/NetCDF

parallel IO vs serial IO

TM5 used for regridding + conversions, works well!



## glb600x400 from 100x100 meteo, no zoom

duur: 20070101-20070201 tracers: test tracers (lichte configuratie) #procs: 5

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

TM5-EI-HDF met alle meteo data in glb100x100:

cpu: 1027.09 s cpu/step: 0.66093308 s/step

TM5-EI-NETCDF met alle meteo data in glb100x100: Nog niet uitgevoerd. Ik mis nog voor mI60 de glb100x100 meteo data in netcdf

HDF/ NetCDF (thanks Ivar)



## glb600x400 from pregrid meteo, no zoom

duur: 20070101-20070201 tracers: test tracers (lichte configuratie) #procs: 5

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_

TM5-EI-HDF met pregridded ml60 data in glb600x400: cpu: 400.43 s, cpu/step: 0.25767696 s/step

TM5-EI-NETCDF met pregridded ml60 data in glb600x400: cpu: 434.38 s, cpu/step: 0.27952381 s/step

HDF/ NetCDF (thanks Ivar)



WAGENINGEN UR

For quality of life

## glb600x400 from pregrid meteo, with zoom

duur: 20070101-20070201 tracers: test tracers (lichte configuratie) #procs: 5

\_\_\_\_\_

TM5-EI-HDF met pregridded ml60 data in glb600x400, nam300x200, nam100x10 *cpu: 1849.20 s, cpu/step: 1.18996139 s/step* 

TM5-EI-NETCDF met pregridded mI60 data in glb600x400, nam300x200, nam100 cpu: 1982.94 s, cpu/step: 1.27602317 s/step

Tuesday, October 16, 12



HDF/ NetCDF (thanks Ivar) Pregridded meteo in HDF makes TM5 a factor of ~2.5 faster

## TM5 with HDF4 is faster than with NetCDF4, on our machine (huygens)